

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A.Nos.17, 23, 26, 29, 31, 76, 77, 80, 86 & 87

IN

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s).79 of 1997

SURESH CHANDRA SHARMA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

CHAIRMAN, UPSEB & ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

I.A.Nos.86 and 87 of 2017 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s).79/1997

1. Learned counsel for the applicant is permitted to withdraw the instant applications, with liberty to seek his remedy, before a competent adjudicatory forum, if the apprehensions expressed by the applicant, in the instant applications, turn out to be correct. He will also have the liberty to approach this Court, in case he is so advised, in accordance with law.

I.A.Nos.17, 23, 26, 29, 31, 76, 77 and 80 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s).79/1997

2. This Court, on 13.05.2011, appointed a Committee headed by Justice (Retd.) Mukul Mudgal, a former Chief Justice of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, and comprising of Shri H.L.Bajaj, former Chairman of the Central Electricity Authority and a former member of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity, so as to examine the status of the cases relating to theft of electricity, and to make recommendations whereby adequate measures could be taken to prevent the theft of electricity.

3. The aforementioned Committee, appointed on 13.05.2011,

submitted its recommendations on 18.12.2012.

4. Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, learned Senior Advocate, assisting this Court as an *amicus curiae* states, that the purpose of filing the instant petition would be deemed to have been achieved if the recommendations made by the Committee, referred to above, are adopted and implemented.

5. Mr. Ranjit Kumar, learned Solicitor General of India, states, that the recommendations have been examined at the highest level, and a number of the recommendations have since been implemented. It is further affirmed, that the Government is committed to give effect to all the recommendations made in the Report dated 18.12.2012.

6. In view of the statement made to this Court, by the learned Solicitor General, learned *amicus curiae* states, that nothing further survives in this petition.

7. In view of the above, the instant petition is disposed of, in terms of the statement made by the Solicitor General of India.

8. All the pending interlocutory applications have been rendered infructuous, and the same are disposed of as such.

.....CJI.
(JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR)

.....J.
(Dr. D. Y. CHANDRACHUD)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 24, 2017.

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL(W)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A.Nos.17, 23, 26, 29, 31, 76, 77, 80, 86 & 87 in Writ Petition(s)
(Civil) No(s).79/1997

SURESH CHANDRA SHARMA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CHAIRMAN,UPSEB & ORS

Respondent(s)

(For directions and exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

Date : 24/04/2017 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

Amicus Curiae (s) Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Girdhar Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr.J.P.Tripathi, Adv.
Mr.A.K.Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr.Hari Shankar Saran, Adv.
Mr.Braham Singh, Adv.
Mr. R. D. Upadhyay, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Ranjit Kumar, SG
Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv.
Ms.Indu Misra, Adv.
Mr.Suraj Singh, Adv.

Dr.(Mrs.)Ritu Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr.Raj Bahadur, Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar Jha, Adv.

Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.

Mr. Sudhir Kulshreshtha, Adv.

Mr.T.N.Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vinay Garg, Adv.
Mr.Upendra Mishra, Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, Adv.

Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.
Mr.Dhruv Tamta, Adv.

Ms. Niranjana Singh, Adv.

Ms. Sujata Kurdukar, Adv.

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A.Nos.86 and 87 of 2017 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s).79/1997

Learned counsel for the applicant is permitted to withdraw the instant applications, with liberty to seek his remedy, before a competent adjudicatory forum, if the apprehensions expressed made by the applicant, in the instant applications, turn out to be correct. He will also have the liberty to approach this Court, in case he is so advised, in accordance with law.

I.A.Nos.17, 23, 26, 29, 31, 76, 77 and 80 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s).79/1997

The instant petition is disposed of, in terms of the statement made by the Solicitor General of India.

All the pending interlocutory applications have been rendered infructuous, and the same are disposed of as such.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
AR-CUM-PS

(RENUKA SADANA)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)