

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 8653-8659 OF 2003

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

KARAN SINGH RATHORE & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

With SLP(C) No. 5679/2004(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and with office report),SLP(C) No.

23386/2003(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and with office report), SLP(C) No. 23389/2003

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.), SLP(C) No. 23391/2003,SLP(C) No. 23393/2003,SLP(C)

No. 23395/2003 (With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.), SLP(C) No. 23397/2003(With appln(s)

for exemption from filing O.T.),SLP(C) No. 23399/2003(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.),

SLP(C) No. 23400/2003(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.),SLP(C) No. 1427/2004(With appln

(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and with office report),SLP(C) No. 2524/2004(With appln(s) for stay and

with office report),SLP(C) No. 26927/2004(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and with office report)

AND

SLP(C) No.23387/2003

With

SLP(C) No.23402/2003

Date: 19/07/2006 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI

For the appearing:

Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta,Adv.

parties

Mr. Naveen Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Manu Mridul, Adv.

Mr. Anant Kumar Vatsya, Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Sachdeva, Adv.

Mr. H.K. Puri, Adv.

Mr. Ujjwal Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Puri, Adv.

Ms. Priya Puri, Adv.

Mr. V.M. Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ansar Ahmad Chaudhary, Adv.

Ms. Sandhya Goswami, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Surya Kant, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

The appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Meenu Sethi)

dwaj)

Court Master

(Pushap Lata Bhar

Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 8653-8659/2003

State of Rajasthan & Ors.

... Appellants

VERSUS

Karan Singh Rathore & Ors. ... Respondent
s

With

C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No. 5679/2004)
C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.23386/2003)
C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.23389/2003)
C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.23391/2003)
C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.23393/2003)
C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.23395/2003)
C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.23397/2003)
C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.23399/2003)
C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.23400/2003)
C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.1427/2004)
C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.2524/2004)
C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.26927/2004)

AND

C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.23387/2003)
C.A. No...../2006 (@ SLP(C) No.23402/2003)

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

The question which arises for consideration in these appeals, arising out of the judgment dated 23.8.2001 passed by the High Court of Rajasthan, is whether the degrees of the Rajasthan Vidya Peeth in B.Ed.(Bal Vikas) followed by three months' Bridge course conducted by it would be equivalent to B.Ed. Course

(General) for the purpose of appointment to the posts of

Senior Teacher(Teacher Grade-II).

Our attention has been drawn to the Minutes of

the Meeting dated 4.6.1998 held in the office of

Northern Regional

Committee wherein it was inter alia resolved:

" Looking at the course all members were unanimous that this course fulfills the requirements of both primary and secondary level teachers training. Therefore, the students trained in B.Ed.(Bal Vikas) can work with full competence as that of B.Ed.(General)."

Keeping in view the aforementioned decision

taken by the statutory authority, we are of the opinion

that no case has been made out for our interference

with the impugned judgment. The appeals are dismissed

accordingly.

.....J.

(S.B.SINHA)

.....J.

(DALVEER BHANDARI)

New Delhi,

July 19, 2006.