

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. No. 6 in Civil Appeal No.5450/1998

HARDIAL SINGH & ORS

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

U.T.CHANDIGARH & ORS

Respondent (s)

(For directions/modification of Court's Order dated 23.01.2004
and office report)

Date : 16/08/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. VARIAVA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TARUN CHATTERJEE

For Appellant (s)

Mr. Yatish Mohan, Adv.

Mr. E.C. Vidya Sagar, Adv.

For Respondent Nos.

1-3

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Application is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

gh)
er

Anita

(Jasbir Sin

Court Mast

(Signed order is placed on the file.)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NO. 6

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5450 OF 1998

Hardial Singh & Others
... Appellants

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh & Others
... Respondents

O R D E R

Heard parties.

The Order dated 11th March, 2003 is clear. It is only an amount of Rs.15 lakhs which has to be refunded to the Applicants. Order dated 23rd January, 2004, passed on the restoration application, cannot go beyond the main Order. The reference, in the Order dated 23rd January, 2004, to amounts

deposited must necessarily mean the amounts directed to be refunded by Order

dated 11th March, 2003. We, thus, see no substance in this Application. The

Application stands dismissed. No order as to costs.

.....J.

(S.N. Variava)

.....J.

(Tarun Chatterjee)

New Delhi,

August 16, 2005.