

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.7

SECTION IIA

## S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

## RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).370-373/2005

(From the judgement and order dated 12/01/2005 in CRLMA No. 11852/2004  
& CRLMA No.

11853/2004 & CRLMA No. 12195/2004 & CRLMA No. 12196/2004 of The HIGH  
COURT OF

GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD)

KETAN VINAYCHANDRA PAREKH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF GUJARAT &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T., stay, intervention and office report)

With CRMP NO.7860/2006 (Appln. for intervention)

Date: 02/08/2006 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Soli Sorabjee, Sr Adv.

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.

Mrs. Shomila Bakshi, Adv.

Mrs. Rani Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Parekh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ashok H. Desai, Sr. Adv.

Mr. K.G. Vakharia, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Rishi Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.

Mrs. Sadhna Sandhu, Adv.

Mr. H.S. Parihar, Adv.

Mr. Kuldeep Parihar, Adv.

Mr. L. Nageshwar Rao, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Vikram Bajaj, Adv.

Mr. Shiladitya Rakshit, Adv.

for M/s. Khaitan & Co. A.O.R., Adv.

Mr. C.A. Sundaram, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Nitin Thakker, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Jain, Adv.

Mr. Satish Sethy, Adv.

2

Mr. R.N. Karanjawala, Adv.

Mr. Mac Bodhanwala, Adv.

Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.

Ms. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

CRMP NO.7860/2006 has been filed by Bank of India seeking leave to

intervene in this proceeding. As this special leave petition arises from a criminal

proceeding relating to refusal of grant of bail, the applicant-Bank of India is neither

sel  
ed by  
ch  
necessary nor proper party in this proceeding. Mr. Sundaram, learned senior coun  
for the petitioner, however, invited our attention to the order dated 24.3.2006 pass  
a coordinate Bench of this Court in Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.4683/2006 whi  
shows that the order of attachment passed by DRAT on 25.11.2005 shall hold the field  
.

or  
SLP  
ntion  
If the applicant has any grievance against the second respondent,  
wants any relief  
against the second respondent, it is appropriate for the Bank to seek such relief in  
(C) 4683/2006. A relief purely of civil nature cannot be claimed by seeking interve  
in criminal proceedings. This intervention application is, accordingly, dismissed.

for  
The payment schedule of balance amount has been submitted by counsel

has  
the petitioner. It is stated that a cheque of Rs.22.50 crores  
been received by the

s  
second respondent today subject to realisation. Next instalments i  
agreed to be

deposited as under:-

	1st November 2006	:	Rs.22.50 crores
	1st February 2007	:	Rs.22.50 crores
rores	1st May 2007	:	Rs.22.50 c
rores	1st August 2007	:	Rs.22.50 c
	1st November 2007	:	Rs.22.50 crores
	1st February 2008	:	Rs.26.43 crores

can be List on 7th November 2006 for further orders. The money deposited

continued to be disbursed to the depositors.

ANAND SINGH ) ( RAVI P. VERMA )

Court Master Court Master

(