

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 1231 OF 2009

SHWETA GOEL Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NIRAJ GOEL & ANR. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 13/08/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)
Mr Dhananjay Garg, Adv.
Mr Abhishek Garg, Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Issue fresh notice.

Dasti service is allowed.

Petitioner is permitted to serve such dasti notice through Mr Sanjay Jain, who is appearing for the present respondent in TP(C) No. 43/2009, where present respondent is also respondent and represented by Mr Sanjay Jain.

On next date, registry has to list both transfer petitions together i.e., TP(C) Nos.1231/2009 and TP(C) NO. 43/2009.

Process fee and spare copies are to be paid on or before 25.8.2010.

If not paid, list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution against unserved respondents.

Item No.20

If paid, issue notice as per above order.

It is very unfortunate that, the learned Advocate appearing before the Court do not have the proper

information about their case even when the cases are called out and even when specific orders are passed in their presence on last occasion.

In the present case, both transfer petitions are filed by the same petitioner.

However, the learned Advocate for the petitioner is not aware about the details of the connected transfer petition and how to confirm service on unserved respondents.

List again on 28.9.2010.

(S.G. SHAH)
Registrar

hj