

" ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.11

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 333/2009

STATE OF M.P.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MASTRAM

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 24/03/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, Adv.  
Mr. Mishra Saurabh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ranbir Yadav, Adv. (A.C.)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Criminal Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(VISHAL ANAND)  
COURT MASTER

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by  
Vishal Anand  
Date: 2015.03.24  
16:56:42 EAST  
Reason:

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Criminal Appeal No(s). 333/2009

STATE OF M.P.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MASTRAM

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, learned counsel appearing for the State of Madhya Pradesh at length today.

We do not find that there is any reason to interfere with the impugned order dated 15-5-2006 so passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Jabalpur Bench.

In view of that, we find no merit in the appeal filed by the

State of Madhya Pradesh.

Accordingly, the Criminal Appeal is dismissed.

However, we must express our gratitude to Shri Ranbir Yadav, learned Amicus Curiae who was appearing on behalf of the sole respondent and helped us to come to such a conclusion.

.....J  
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J  
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

NEW DELHI,  
24TH MARCH, 2015