

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).420/2005

(From the judgement and order dated 20/12/2004 in CRLMA No. 3090/2004 of The
HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

SADHANA BHARATI & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay of arrest and office report)

Date: 02/02/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rajiv Dutta, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Shasla Kiran, Adv.

Mr. H.C. Kharbandaa, Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Jain, Adv.

For Mr. M.P. Shorawala, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Imtiaz Ahmed, Adv.

For M/S.Equity Lex Associates, Adv.

Mr. Ratnakar Das, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Pramod Kumar Yadav, Adv.

For Mr. Javed Mahmud Rao ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard both sides and we have also perused the
complaint filed by the respondent herein before the Chief Judicial
Magistrate. As regards the 1st petitioner, there are such allegations in
the complaint and we are not inclined to

..2/-

-2-

pass any order in favour of petitioner no.1. As regards petitioner nos.2 to
4 there are no allegations in the complaint. The High Court was not
justified in quashing the proceedings against these petitioners 2 to 4
initiated at the instance of the respondent. The complaint against
petitioners 2 to 4 are quashed. The respondent would be at liberty
to
prosecute against the 1st petitioner.

The special leave petition is disposed of accordingly.

(R.K. DHAWAN)

COURT MASTER

(VEERA VERMA)

COURT MASTER