

ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.3

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 527 OF 1998

ACTION COMM.FOR IMP.OF SRIKRISHNA R.&ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

U.O.I. & ORS

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for recalling the court's order)

WITH W.P(C) NO. 164 of 1999

SLP(C) NO. 1771 of 1999

(With appln(s) for urging addl. grounds)

W.P(C) NO. 182 of 2001

(With appln(s) for recalling the court's order)

W.P(C) NO. 40 of 1999

AND WITH

W.P(C) NO. 542 of 1998

(With appln(s) for directions)

Date: 16/11/2005 These appeals/Petition were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Anis Suhrawardy, Adv.

Ms. Aparna Bhat, Adv.

Mr. Jai Singh, Adv.

Ms. Neeru Vaid, Adv.

Mr. Mahendra Anand, Sr. Adv.

For Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Adv.

Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.

Dr. Nafis A. Siddiqui, Adv.

2

Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.

Mr. Huzefa Ahmadi, Adv.

Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, Adv.

Mr. Nakul Dewan, Adv.

Mr. Vikash Singh, Adv.

Ms. Minakshi Nag, Adv.

Ms. Hansa Advani, Adv.

Ms. Taruna Singh, Adv.

Mr. Pallav Sishodia, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Shinde, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

Mr. A. Saran, A.S.G.

Mr. Hemant Sharma, Adv.

Mrs. Sushma Suri, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.

Ms. Pinky Behra, Adv.

Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) No.1771 of 1999 be delinked.

Counsel for the State of Maharashtra submits that pursuant to the Report of Justice

Srikrishna, various actions had been taken by the State of Maharashtra and the details of such action

taken have been filed in the form of affidavits in six volumes and Shri A.K.Raghuvanshi, the then

Addl. Commissioner of Police has filed the supporting affidavit. Counsel for the various petitioners

submit that still the government have not done the needful and it is alleged that many of the police

officers who should have been prosecuted have not been prosecuted and even departmental actions have

not been taken against them. It is alleged that many prosecutions were not initiated though the

3

Commission had recommended such action. It is further alleged that many of the deserving victims

have not been compensated by the State Government though it was declared that the compensation

would be paid to all these victims and persons who were really deserving to get compensation were not

paid though appropriate evidence was furnished to the offence regarding the death of the victims in this

regard.

Under the above circumstances, the four petitioners may go through the six volumes of

affidavit and verify the action taken by the state government. In case if there is any serious lapse on the

part of the government, the petitioners may point out the serious lapse made on the part of the

Government by filing a proper affidavit in a tabular form containing the details of the action taken by

the State Government and the failure if any, on the part of the State Government and a reference may

also be made to the Commission's Report. All these facts are to be filed in this Court within a period of

eight weeks.

Post these matters for final disposal on Tuesday, the 24th January, 2006.

(G.V.Ramana)

Court Master

(Veera Verma)

Court Master