

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.K. HANJURA

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 41 OF 2010

BRIJ BALA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MANISH MITTAL & ORS.
(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Respondent(s)

Date: 27/08/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Vineet Bhagat,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Hetu Arora,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

From the perusal of the office report what comes to the fore is that notice could not be served on respondent Nos.7 and 8 because of the reason that the process fee and spare copies have not been filed by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has now served an application with the request that the said respondents be served through dasti notice.

Item No.36 -2-

The request of the learned counsel for the petitioner is acceded to and accordingly dasti notice as prayed for shall be issued for service on the unserved respondents. The learned counsel for the petitioner shall file proof of such service within a period of six weeks. Resort to this mode shall be in addition to the usual mode of service.

Petitioner shall also file spare copies within the

same period.

List again on 1.10.2010.

(M.K. HANJURA)
REGISTRAR

rd