

\224
SLP(C)No. 2981 OF 2001
ITEM No.43

Court No. 5

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.2981/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 29/09/2000 in CWP 13410/00
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

RAVINDER KUMAR RANA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date : 08/10/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Rishi Kesh,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. K.N. Rawal, ASG
Ms. Sushma Suri,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

Leave granted.
Appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

(Meenu Sethi)
Court Master

(Om Prakash)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

.PA

.....L.....I.....J
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7081 OF 2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

(Arising out of Special leave petition(C) No. 2981/2001)

Ravinder Kumar Rana

...Appellant

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

...Respondents

O R D E R

.SP2

.....L.....I.....J

Leave granted.

This appeal arises out of an order made by the High Court in a Writ petition filed before it.

The Writ petition being a primary proceeding, the High Court ought to have set out at least some facts and reasons, however briefly, in the course of its order as to why the matter is being dismissed. We find the order made by the High Court to be too cryptic and not discernible to understand as to what passed in the mind of the High Court in making the order under challenge. In the circumstances we set aside the order under appeal and remit the matter to the High Court for fresh disposal in accordance with law. Appeal is allowed accordingly.

.SP1

.....J
[S. RAJENDRA BABU]

.....J
[DORAISWAMY RAJU]

New Delhi,
October 8, 2001.