

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).10659/2005

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRABHAKAR SRIDHAR BAPAT Respondent(s)
With SLP(C)Nos.10660-10661/2005, 22812/2004, 3714/2005,4193-4194,
5921,8539, 9309,17109, 25685-25686/2005, 15597/2004,
8213,106624,11066,13075,12803,14253/2006, 1063,8894/2007, 27589,
20513/2008, 24110/2009, 2144/2010 and 35459/2009.

Date: 14/01/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Vijay Kumar,Adv.
Mr. V.K. Verma,Adv.
Mr. Atul B. Dakh,Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.
Mrs. Anil Katiyar,Addv .
Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija,Adv.
Mr. M.R. Shamshad,Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri,Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das,Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra,Adv.
Mr. Ch. Shamsuddin Khan,Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Vishwajit Singh,Adv.
Mr. R.N. Keshwani,Adv.
Mr. P. Narasimhan,Adv.
Mrs. K. Sarda Devi,Adv.

..2/-

Item No.2

: 2 :

Mr. Shiv Sagar Tiwari,Adv.
Mr. S.K. Dey,Adv.
Mr. K.V. Mohan,Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri,Adv.
Mr. Prem sunder Jha,Adv.
Mr. M.R. Shamhad,Adv.
Mr. Rashmikumar Manilal,Adv.

Mr. H.A. Raichura, Adv.
Mrs. Saroj Raichura, Adv.

Mr. Anis Ahmed Khan, Adv.

Ms. N. Annapoorani, Adv.

Mr. S. Titam Khare, Adv.

Mr. Anand, Adv.

Mr. A. Sengupta, Adv.,

Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In SLP(C)No.10659/2005 counsel for the respondent submits that he does not propose to file any counter affidavit.

In SLP(C)Nos.13075/2006 and 9309/2005 sole respondent in each case has not filed counter affidavit even now. No further steps as submitted by the petitioners. Hence, place the matter before the Hon'ble Court, as per Rule.

In SLP(C)No.9309/2005 substitution application may be listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber for orders.

..3/-

Item No.2 : 3 :

In SLP(C)No.8213/2006 respondent Nos. 1, 2 4 and 5 have filed counter affidavit. Respondent No. 3 has been deleted. Respondent Nos. 6 to 9 have been served. They have not filed Vakalatnama. Hence, place the matter before the Hon'ble Court, as per Rule.

In SLP(C)No.27589/2008 await return of notice of the respondents.

In SLP(C)No.35459/2009 even though respondents have filed Vakalatnama no counter affidavit has been filed by the respondents inspite of last opportunity granted. Hence, place the matter before the Hon'ble Court, as per Rule.

In SLP(C)No.24110/2009 counsel for the petitioner granted two weeks time as a last chance for remitting process fee, failing which the matter be listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber without any further order. If steps taken, issue notice. Await return of notice.

It is seen that in SLP(C)Nos.22812/2004 and 3714/2005 even though matters are listed but office reports are not received. Office to put up with office report.

In SLP(C)No.13075 and 9309/2005 respondents have not filed counter affidavit even now though sufficient opportunities granted. Hence, place the matter before the Hon'ble Court, as per Rule.

List the incomplete matters on 14.2.2011.

(SUNIL THOMAS)
Registrar