

**SECTION XII**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**INTERLOCUTARY APPLICATION NO. 7**

(Application for Restoration)

WITH

**INTERLOCUTARY APPLICATION NO. 8**

(Application for condonation of delay in filing  
application for restoration)

WITH

**INTERLOCUTARY APPLICATION NO. 9**

(Application for substitution to bring on record the Legal  
Representatives of deceased sole appellant)

WITH

**INTERLOCUTARY APPLICATION NO.10**

(Application for condonation of delay in filing  
Application for substitution to bring on record the Legal  
Representatives )

WITH

**INTERLOCUTARY APPLICATION NO.11**

(Application for setting aside abatement in filing  
Application for substitution to bring on record the Legal  
Representatives)

IN

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4174 OF 2007**

R. Venugopal (dead) ...Appellant

Versus

Manivannan & Anr. ...Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT FOR DIRECTIONS**

The applications in the matter above-mentioned were  
listed before the Hon'ble Court on 14<sup>th</sup> August, 2015, when  
the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"Issue notice to the respondents.**

**Mr. G. Balaji, Advocate accepts notice  
on behalf of the respondents.**

**Liberty to file reply to the  
interlocutory applications within four weeks.  
List thereafter."**

Subsequently, the applications in the matter  
above-mentioned were listed before the Ld. Registrar's  
Court on 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2016, when the following order was  
passed:-

"In all the applications numbered above, Ld. Counsel for the appellant has failed to file the required number of spare copies for issuance of notice to all the respondents despite order dated 14.8.2015 of the Hon'ble Court. Mr. G. Balaji, Ld. Counsel accepted notice for all the respondents but filed the vakalatnama for the respondent No.1 only which is also defective. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further directions. Await orders. List thereafter."

It is submitted that Mr. Vijay Kumar, Counsel for the appellant has not filed requisite spare copies. Therefore, show cause notice on all the aforesaid applications could not be issued.

It is further submitted that Mr. G. Balaji, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents but has on 10<sup>th</sup> March, 2016, filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of respondent No.1 only. The vakalatnama/appearance is also defective as no objection from Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, Advocate, who has already filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of respondent No.1 in the matter has not been obtained.

Service of notice is not complete.

In view of Ld. Registrar's order, office report for directions in the above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Judge-in-chamber with this Office Report.

Dated this the 26<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to: Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate  
Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR