

S U P R E M E            C O U R T   O F       I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).29553-29554/2011

P.M.ANUPKUMAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BANGALORE DEV.AUTHORITY AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report )

Date: 21/03/2012            These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Shantanu Jindal, Adv.  
Mr. Mohit Abraham,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Anand Srivastava, Adv.  
Mr. Ankur S. Kulkarni,Adv.  
Mr Vishruti Vijay, Adv.  
Ms. Anitha Shenoy ,Adv  
Mr. R. Gopalakrishnan ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

It is very much unfortunate that contradictory statement is made in the affidavit of service filed on 17.3.2012. Though it is stated in para 2 that all the respondents are served on 11.11.2011; affidavit to that effect is filed only on 16.3.2012 i.e., after four months and that too with a contradictory statement in para 4 that respondent Nos. 12,15,18 and 20 are yet not served, it is difficult to rely upon such affidavits when there is contradictory statement.

-2-

Item No.8

Dasti service was already allowed in the month of November 2011. Petitioner is permitted to confirm dasti service through the nearest Civil Court/Trial Court, if they so desire. Petitioner may select appropriate mode of

service. If proper proof of service is not filed on or  
before 1.5.2012, list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers  
for non-prosecution.

(S.G.SHAH)  
REGISTRAR

hj