

ITEM NO.5

COURT NO.8

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 5911-5912/2010

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11/12/2008 in WA No. 6253/2004 11/12/2008 in WA No. 6253/2004 11/12/2008 in WA No. 936/2007 11/12/2008 in WA No. 936/2007 passed by the High Court Of Karnataka At Bangalore)

N.A.L. LAYOUT RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BANGALORE DEVELOPMENT AUTHY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(WITH APPLN. (S) FOR CLARIFICATION OF COURT'S ORDER AND DIRECTIONS AND EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. AND IMPLEADMENT AS PARTY RESPONDENT AND MAY REFER TO REMARKS AND PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS AND PERMISSION TO FILE ADDL. DOCUMENTS AND INTERIM RELIEF AND OFFICE REPORT)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 29553-29554/2011

(WITH APPLN. (S) FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS AND APPLN. (S) FOR SETTING ASIDE AN ABATEMENT AND APPLN. (S) FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON AND APPLN. (S) FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. AND APPLN. (S) FOR PERMISSION TO PLACE ADDL. DOCUMENTS ON RECORD AND APPLN. (S) FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. AND APPLN. (S) FOR DIRECTIONS AND)

Date : 22/02/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHUSHAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rajesh Mahale, Adv.

Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora, Adv.
Ms. Shreya Agrawal, Adv.
Ms. Priyadarshinee Singh, Adv.
M/s. Khaitan & Co., Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Kiran Suri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Gautam Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. S.J. Amith, Adv.
Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, Adv.

Mr. K. Radhakrishnan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Navin Prakash, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Kulkarni, Adv.

Mr. Gireesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ankur S. Kulkarni, Adv.

Mr. Raghavendra S. Srivatsa, Adv.

Mr. Venkita Subramoniam, Adv.

Mr. Rahat Bansal, Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.

Ms. Rajani K. Prasad, Adv.

Ms. N. Annapoorani, Adv.

Mr. Joseph Aristotle S., Adv.

Ms. Priya Aristotle, Adv.

Ms. K. Priyadarshini, Adv.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Adv.

Respondent-in-person

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties for some time.

We feel that the original record of the State Government containing Section 48 proceedings, whereby initially Notification was issued and thereafter withdrawn, needs to be produced in the Court. The Bangalore Development Authority (BDA) shall also produce the original records.

List the matters for further arguments on 02.03.2017.

On the next date of hearing, the learned counsel for the BDA shall also inform this Court as to how much land is allotted to the petitioner-Society i.e. N.A.L. Layout Residents Association by the BDA and how much land is in actual possession. This information shall be supplied after getting the spot survey done.

(Ashwani Thakur)
COURT MASTER

(Mala Kumari Sharma)
COURT MASTER