

ITEM NO.19

COURT NO.3

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)..... of 2014
CC No(s). 13064/2014

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02/09/2013 in LPA No. 1362/2011,02/09/2013 in CWJC No. 5350/2008 passed by the High Court Of Patna)

BRAJ NANDAN SINGH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NATIONAL INST.OF TECHNOLOGY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for c/delay in filing slp and c/delay in refiling slp and office report)

Date : 25/08/2014 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Nagendra Rai, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Smarhar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Prakash, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard.

The High Court has in the impugned judgment recorded a finding that the petitioners had been appointed by an individual member of the Centre without specifying the posts, qualifications, age or experience, required for the same. The High Court has also recorded a finding that the appointments were made without issuing any advertisement or any process of evaluation of merit of the candidates concerned. No eligibility criterion was specified for such appointment, according to the High Court.

Mr. Nagendra Rai, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners, however, submits that the appointment was made pursuant to a proper selection process. He seeks two weeks' time to file a copy of the advertisement notice, if any, issued by the authorities, the criterion for making appointments, if any prescribed, the process of selection, if any conducted and a copy of the appointment order of the petitioners.

Post after two weeks. Needful shall be done in the meantime.

(Mahabir Singh)
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)
COURT MASTER