

SECTION II-B
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.)NO. 1808 OF 2015
WITH
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NOS. 2211, 5391,5392 & 7557
OF 2015

(Application for condonation of delay in filing the Special Leave Petition, exemption from filing official translation Exemption from filing Certified Copy of Impugned Order, and modification)

HOSHIYAR SINGH .. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA ... Respondent(s)

OFFICE REPORT

The application for Restoration (CRMP No. 3808 of 2015) in the matter mentioned above was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 27.03.2015, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased pass the following order:

"Application for restoration is allowed. The special leave petition is restored to its original number.

Delay condoned.

Issue notice on SLP to the respondent limited to the question of sentence and compensation to be paid to the injured victims.

In the meanwhile, we direct the petitioner to deposit an amount of Rs. 2,00,000/- (Rupees two lakhs only) in the trial court within a period of four weeks from today.

The petitioner is granted bail subject to deposit of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with the trial court."

Accordingly show cause notice returnable on 05.05.2015 was issued to the sole Respondent/State of Haryana, through Regd. A.D. Post on 08.04.2015.

It is submitted that Mr. Vishwa Pal Singh, Advocate/Standing Counsel for the State of Haryana has on 23.04.2015 filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on behalf of sole

Respondent/State of Haryana.

It is further submitted that Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Counsel for the Petitioner has not filed proof of deposit of Rs. 2,00,000/- in the Trial Court, so far.

It is further submitted that Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Counsel for the Petitioner has on 24.04.2015 filed application for modification of order dated 27.03.2015. Application has been registered as Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No. 7557 of 2015. Copy of application is being circulated herewith for kind perusal of the Hon'ble Court.

It is lastly submitted that Vakalatnama/Appearance bears thumb impression of the petitioner whereas affidavit in support of application for modification bears signature of the petitioner. In this regard Mr. Satendra Kumar, Counsel for the Petitioner has on 1.5.2015 given a letter stating therein that signatures on the affidavit and thumb impression on the vakalatnama is of one and the same person i.e. Hoshiar Singh, the petitioner. Copy of letter dated 1.5.2015 is being circulated herewith for kind perusal of the Hon'ble Court.

The matter above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

DATED this the 01st day of May, 2015

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO:

1. Mr. Satyendera Kumar, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

c3/hk