

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 3034/2012

RAMACHANDRA REDDY & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAMULU AMMAL

Respondent(s)

Date : 25/03/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr.Mahalakshmi Pavani,adv.
Mr.G.Balaji,adv.
M/s. Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co.,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.R.Anand Padmanabhan,adv.
Mr. Shashi Bhushan Kumar,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report is that Ld.counsel for the appellants and the Ld.counsel for the respondent have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by letter dated 14.01.2013 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to file any further

Signature Not Verified

statement of case. The order further provides

Digitally signed by

Sushma Kumari Bajaj

that if the

Date: 2015.03.27

11:19:26 IST

Reason: respondent has entered appearance and does not file a

statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

.....2

ITEM NO.109

-2-

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)