

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
I.A.Nos.6-7 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 2027-2028 OF 2012

PUJA FERRO ALLOYS P.LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF GOA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for modification of Court's Order dated 10.02.2012 and office report)

WITH I.A.Nos.6-7 IN Civil Appeal NO. 2031-2032 of 2012

(With appln(s) for modification of Court's Order dated 10.02.2012 and office report)

I.A.Nos.6-7 IN Civil Appeal NO. 2033-2034 of 2012

(With appln(s) for modification of Court's Order dated 10.02.2012 and office report)

I.A.Nos.6-7 IN Civil Appeal NO. 2035-2036 of 2012

(With appln(s) for modification of Court's Order dated 10.02.2012 and office report)

Date: 02/07/2012 These I.As. were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Appellant(s) Mr.Santosh Paul, Adv.
Mr.Vijay Nair, Adv.
Ms. Arti Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. A.Subhashini, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A.Nos.6-7 in Civil Appeal Nos. 2027-2028 of 2012

These applications have been filed for modification of order dated 10.02.2012 whereby the appellant was directed to pay the amount specified in demand notices within a period of two months.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. In our view, the prayer made in the application is misconceived and there is no justification for relieving the appellant of its obligation to pay the amount to the respondents.

The applications are accordingly dismissed.

However, on the oral request of the learned counsel, we allow seven days time to the appellant to pay the amount in terms of the demand notices.

I.A.Nos.6-7 in Civil Appeal Nos. 2031-2032 of 2012

These applications have been filed for modification of order dated 10.02.2012 whereby the appellant was directed to pay the amount specified in demand notices within a period of two months.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. In our view, the prayer made in the application is misconceived and there is no justification for relieving the appellant of its obligation to pay the amount to the respondents.

The applications are accordingly dismissed.

However, on the oral request of the learned counsel, we allow seven days time to the appellant to pay the amount in terms of the demand notices.

I.A.Nos.6-7 in Civil Appeal Nos. 2033-2034 of 2012

These applications have been filed for modification of order dated 10.02.2012 whereby the appellant was directed to pay the amount

specified in demand notices within a period of two months.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. In our view, the prayer made in the application is misconceived and there is no justification for relieving the appellant of its obligation to pay the amount to the respondents.

The applications are accordingly dismissed.

However, on the oral request of the learned counsel, we allow seven days time to the appellant to pay the amount in terms of the demand notices.

I.A.Nos.6-7 in Civil Appeal Nos. 2035-2036 of 2012

These applications have been filed for modification of order dated 10.02.2012 whereby the appellant was directed to pay the amount specified in demand notices within a period of two months.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. In our view, the prayer made in the application is misconceived and there is no justification for relieving the appellant of its obligation to pay the amount to the respondents.

The applications are accordingly dismissed.

However, on the oral request of the learned counsel, we allow seven days time to the appellant to pay the amount in terms of the demand notices.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master