

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 7904-7912 OF 2012

A.P.INDUSTRIAL INFRASTRUCTURE CORPN.LTD. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RAMESH SINGH & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for impleadment and recalling the court's order and with office report)

[With application for recalling the order dated 18.2.2011 & restore the order dt. 5.1.2005]

[With I.A. No. 35 application for impleadment in C.A. No. 7906/2012]

[With I.A. No. 36 application for impleadment in C.A. No. 7908/2012]

With Civil Appeal NO. 9317-9321 of 2012
(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 7922-7923 of 2012
(With appln(s) for impleadment and with office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 7931 of 2012
(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 7930 of 2012
(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 7929 of 2012
(With appln(s) for substitution and with office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 7932 of 2012
(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 7928 of 2012
(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 7927 of 2012
(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 7926 of 2012
(With appln(s) for substitution and with office report)

WITH Civil Appeal NO. 5822 of 2013
(With office report)

Date: 03/10/2013 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

-2-

For the parties:

Mr. A.K. Ganguli, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Y. Raja Gopala Rao, Adv.
Ms. Y. Vismai Rao, Adv.
Mr. Hitendera Nath Rath, Adv.

Mr. A. Subba Rao, Adv.

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.

Mr. Mahavir Singh, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Varun Thakur, Adv.

Ms. Shardha Saran, Adv.

Mr. Varinder Kumar S, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Sagar Tiwari, Adv.

State of A.P.

Ms. C.K. Sucharita ,Adv

Ms. Rumi Chanda, Adv.

Mrs. S. Usha Reddy, Adv.

Mr. D. Bharat Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Joydip Roy, Adv.

Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.

M/S. T.T.K. Deepak & Co.

Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

It was mentioned by Ms. Y. Vismai Rao, learned counsel appearing for one of the appellant (A.P.Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ltd.) that Advocate on Record is not well. More so, it has been pointed out that there is no urgency in the matter as the interim order passed earlier has already been vacated.

In view of the above, list the appeals in the usual course.

(O.P. Sharma)
Court Master

(M.S. Negi)
Court Master