

V:

SLP(C)No. 6928 OF 1999  
ITEM No.1

Court No. 1

SECTION XI  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. Nos. 8, 11, 15 & ..... in  
Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.6928/1999  
(From the judgement and order dated 08/10/1998 in CMWP 968/97  
of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

STATE OF U.P. & ORS

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

JEET S. BISHT & ANR.

Respondent (s)

(for taking affidavit on record and directions, W.P.(C) 548/2003 directed  
to be treated as I.A. in SLP (C) No. 6928/1999 and WP(C) No. 164/2002 and  
intervention and directions)  
(along with the record of SLP(C) No. 4135/2002 and WP(C) No. 164/2002)

With

WP(C)No.164/2002 (In re: The Implementation & the Working of Consumer Protection Act, 1986)  
(with appln.(s) for directions and to take on record additional documents and permission to fi  
le additional documents and amendment of the writ petition and exemption from filing OT) (for  
directions)

Date : 04/05/2005 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.K. BALASUBRAMANYAN

For Petitioner (s)

in SLP Mr. R G Padia, Sr. Adv..

Mr. Javed M Rao, Adv.  
Mr. Pradeep Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv. (NP)  
Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv. (NP)

NCDRC Bar Asson.

in WP 164/02Mr. M N Krishnamani, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ranji P Thomas, Adv.  
Mr. S K Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. V N Raghupathy, Adv.  
Ms. C K Sucharita, Adv. (NP)

For Respondent (s)

Union of IndiaMr. N N Goswami, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Kiran Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Mr. S N Terdal, Adv.  
Mr. B K Prasad, Adv. (NP)  
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv. (NP)

State of Assam Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.

Mr. V K Sidharthan, Adv.  
Mr. Atul Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Amrita Bhattacharya, Adv.

for Corporate Law Group, Advs.

State of Arunachal Pradesh Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.  
Mr. Saurabh Shrivastava, Adv.

State of Andhra Pradesh Ms. D Bharathi Reddy, Adv.  
Mr. Mr. B Vikas, Adv.  
Mr. T V Ratnam, Adv.(NP)

State of Bihar for Mr. B B Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Kumar Rajesh Singh, Adv.

State of Chhatisgarh Ms. Suparna Srivastava, Adv.  
Ms. Deepti Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.  
Mr. Prakash Shrivastava, Adv.(NP)

NCT Delhi Mr. Ashok Bhan, Adv.  
Ms. Kiran Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

UTs of Daman & Diu, Lakshadweep, Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Andaman & Nicobar  
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. D S Mahra, Adv.

State of Gujarat Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.  
Ms. Monika Bapna, Adv.

State of Goa Ms. A Subhashini, Adv.

State of Haryana Ms. Kavita Wadia, Adv.(NP)

State of Himachal Pradesh Mr. J S Attri, Addl.Adv.Genl.

State of J & K Mr. Anis Suhrawardy, Adv.(NP)

State of Jharkhand Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.(NP)

State of Kerala Mr. K R Sasiprabhu, Adv.  
Mr. MKS Menon, Adv.  
Ms. G. Indira, Adv.

State of Manipur Mr. KH Nobin Singh, Adv.

State of Mizoram Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.  
Ms. Monika Bapna, Adv.

For Govt. of Mizoram Mr. K N Madhusoodhanan, Adv.  
Mr. R Sathish, Adv.

State of Maharashtra Mr. S S Shinde, Adv.  
Mr. Mukesh K Giri, Adv.

State of Madhya Pradesh Mr. Satish K Agnihotri, Adv.  
Mr. Rohit Singh, Adv.

State of Meghalaya Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.(NP)

State of Nagaland Mr. Upamanyu Hazarika, Adv.  
Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.  
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.

State of Orissa Mr. Janaranjan Das, Adv.  
Mr. Swetaketu Mishra, Adv.  
Ms. Moushumi Gahlot, Adv.  
Ms. Smruti Mohanty, Adv.

Govt of Pondicherry Mr. V G Pragasam, Adv.

State of Punjab Mr. R K Rathore, Addl. Adv. Genl.  
Mr. S. Krishnaraj, Adv.  
Mr. Arun K Sinha, Adv.

State of Rajasthan Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Addl. Adv. Genl.  
Mr. Naveen Kr. Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Shivangi, adv.

State of Sikkim Mr. Brijender Chahar, Adv.  
Ms. Jyoti Chahar, Adv.  
Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.

State of Tripura Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.  
Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

State of Tamilnadu Mr. R Gopalakrishnan, Adv.  
Mr. Abhay Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. S N Jha, Adv.  
Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

State of Uttaranchal Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Addl. Adv. Genl.

State of UP Mr. R G Padia, Sr. Adv..  
Mr. Javed M Rao, Adv.  
Mr. Pradeep Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.(NP)  
Mr. Ashok K Srivastava, Adv.(NP)

State of W.B. Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.

UT Chandigarh Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.  
Ms. Shomila Bakshi, Adv.

Applicant(s) in IAs 8 Ms. Shobha Dixit, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. V P Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. M P S Tomar, Adv.  
Ms. Sandhya Goswami, Adv.  
Ms. Malvika Trivedi, Adv.

For Applicant in IA 15/05 Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. N S Bisht, Adv. (NP)

Mr. V. Sudeer, Adv.  
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.  
Mr. MBRS Raju, Adv.  
Ms. S. Sunita, Adv.  
Mr. S. Sachin, Adv.  
Mr. J.B.Ravi, Adv.  
Mr. Riju Raj Jamawal, Adv.  
Ms. M. Sailaja, Adv.  
Mr. S. Srinivasan, Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.(NP)  
Mr. Sanjay R Hegde, Adv.(NP)  
Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, Adv.(NP)  
Mr. J P Dhanda, Adv.(NP)  
Ms. Revathy Raghavan, Adv.(NP)  
Mr. T Mahipal, Adv.(NP)  
Mr. Pallav Shishodia, Adv. (NP)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

I.A. No. 8/2003 in SLP(C) No. 6928/1999

The learned senior counsel for the applicant has pressed in particular relief (f) sought for in the application. The learned counsel for the State of U.P. states that a decision in this regard has been taken favourable to the applicant and it is awaiting clearance at the highest level. Six weeks's time is allowed for the purpose of finalising the a decision and bringing the same on record.

The attention of the learned counsel for the State of U.P. is invited to the order dated 17.1.2005 made on I.A. Nos. 12 and 13. The learned counsel for the State states that the appointed time of four months is yet to expire and the decision will be taken within that much time and then brought on record through an affidavit.

I.A. No. 11/2003

To come up for hearing with W.P.(C) No. 164/2002.

I.A. No. 15/2005

Issue notice to the State of Uttaranchal.

WP(C) No. 164/2002

The matter would need to be heard afresh in view of several subsequent developments. The learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the petitioner may be allowed liberty of filing a fresh and complete statement setting out the relevant facts and statistics, the problematic areas wherein directions of the Court are sought for, for the purpose of making several Consumer Fora fully functional, and the suggestions which the Petitioner chooses to put forth for the consideration of the Court in the direction of resolution. As prayed, four weeks' time is allowed for the purpose.

( AJAY KR. JAIN)  
COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(RADHA R. BHATIA)