

ITEM NO.4

COURT NO.13

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Criminal) No. 105/2010

ABDUL JABBAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for directions and stay and office report)
(For final disposal)

WITH

W.P.(Crl.) No. 106/2010
(With Office Report)W.P.(Crl.) No. 18/2011
(With Office Report)W.P.(Crl.) No. 19/2011
(With Office Report)W.P.(Crl.) No. 20/2011
(With Office Report)W.P.(Crl.) No. 23/2011
(With Office Report)W.P.(Crl.) No. 40/2011
(With Office Report)W.P.(Crl.) No. 41/2011
(With Office Report)W.P.(Crl.) No. 42/2011
(With Office Report)W.P.(Crl.) No. 43/2011
(With Office Report)W.P.(Crl.) No. 44/2011
(With Office Report)

Signature Not Verified

W.P.(Crl.) No. 45/2011

Digitally signed by
Usha Rani Bhardwaj
Date: 2015.01.21

(With Office Report)

16:23:37 IST

Reason:

Date : 20/01/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing
today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv. (N.P.)

Mr. Debasis Misra, Adv. (N.P.)

For Respondent(s)

Mr. R.K. Dash, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Raman yadav, Adv.

Mr. Abhishth Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Mohan Prasad Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Malhotra, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Belram Das, Adv.

Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv.

Ms. Radhika Gautam, Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Rishi Agrawala, Adv.

Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Adv.

Mr. Shashank Manish, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

None appears for the Petitioners.

We have perused the judgment rendered in C.A. Nos. 8791-8818 of 2014, to which one of us, (C. Nagappan, J.) was a party.

It is noted therein that the land, which was the subject matter of the acquisition has been given up by the parties on whose behalf acquisition was initiated. It was further recorded that as on date, there is no proposal to acquire the subject property. It was for this reason that in C.A. Nos. 8791-8818 of 2014, in terms of the judgment dated 16th September, 2014 it was inter alia observed that the Company may take steps to recover the compensation, already paid to the owners. The subject matter of the present Petitions is also this very land acquisition. Clearly the present Writ Petitions have become infructuous

3

and are dismissed as such.

Writ Petitions are dismissed as infructuous.

(NEELAM GULATI)
COURT MASTER

(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)
COURT MASTER