

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 194/2002
(From the judgement and order dated 18/12/2001 in Crl.M.C. 8554/01
of The HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM)

P.K. SUDHEER

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KERALA & ANR.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for anticipatory bail and
permission to place addl. documents on record)

Date : 08/07/2002 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Petitioner (s) Mr. M.L. Varma, Sr. Adv.
Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Altaf Ahmed, ASG.
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon
Abdul Hamit Ansari & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra [AIR@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
2000 SC 3541] and contends that anticipatory bail should
be granted.

In Ansari's case (supra), the allegation was that@
CCCCCCCCCCCC
the petitioner therein had committed an offence under
Section 302 read with 149 I.P.C. The culprits were not
...2/-

.PA

:2:

traced in the first instance but it was pursuant to the
petition filed by the widow of the deceased that they were
apprehended. The Court observed, after looking into the
allegations related to the antecedents of the deceased,
that it was not inclined to give pre-arrest bail order to

