

ITEM NO.57

COURT NO.5

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 9336/2013

K.RAHEJA CORP.P.LTD. &amp; ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

FRANKY MONTEIRO &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

C.A. No. 9714/2013 (III)  
(FOR EARLY HEARING APPLICATION ON IA 124748/2017)C.A. No. 9717/2013 (III)  
(FOR EARLY HEARING APPLICATION ON IA 124756/2017)C.A. No. 9718/2013 (III)  
(FOR EARLY HEARING APPLICATION ON IA 124760/2017)C.A. No. 9715/2013 (III)  
(FOR EARLY HEARING APPLICATION ON IA 124753/2017)C.A. No. 9716/2013 (III)  
(FOR EARLY HEARING APPLICATION ON IA 124754/2017)C.A. No. 9343/2013 (III)  
(ONLY EARLY HEARING APPLICATION ON IA 121480/2017)C.A. No. 9698/2013 (III)  
(ONLY EARLY HEARING APPLICATION ON IA 121500/2017)

Date : 07-02-2018 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGODARCounsel for the  
partiesMr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.  
Ms. Hemlata Jain, Adv.  
Ms. Parul Shukla, Adv.  
Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AORMr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.  
Ms. Deepti Sarin, Adv.  
Mr. Utsav Trivedi, Adv.

Mrs. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.  
for M/S. Karanjawala & Co.

Mr. A. N. S. Nadkarni, ASG  
Mr. Dattaprasad Lawande, AG, State of Goa  
Mr. Amogh V. Prabhudesai, Adv.  
Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, AOR  
Ms. Triveni Potekar, Adv.  
Mr. Santosh Rebello, Adv.  
Mr. Paurush Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Salvedor S. Rebello, Adv.  
Mr. A. G. Perrera, Adv.  
Mr. Akshaya J., Adv.  
Ms. Lhingneivah, Adv.  
Ms. Nivedita Nair, Adv.  
Ms. Snehapravu Tendulkar, Adv.

Mr. A. K. Panda, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. A. K. Srivastava, Av.  
Mr. M. P. Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. Sandamini Sharma, Adv.  
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

Ms. Liz Mathew, AOR  
Mr. Ashim Sood, Adv.  
Ms. Supriya R., Adv.  
Mr. Gopal Machiraya, Adv.

Ms. Tanya Agarwal, Adv.  
Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR

Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, learned senior counsel, on instruction, submits that in view of the prolonged litigations for the last 12 years, the appellants are agreeable to give up the land, though regretfully, so that the litigations can be given a quietus.

The learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the respondent-State seeks some time to get instruction.

We make it clear that the interim order of status quo operating in the matter shall not stand in the way of the State taking a decision in terms of the statement made by the learned senior counsel, which we have referred to above.

List on 23.02.2018.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)  
COURT MASTER

(MADHU NARULA)  
COURT MASTER