

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.4

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 9336/2013

K.RAHEJA CORP.P.LTD. & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

FRANKY MONTEIRO . & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

C.A. No. 9714/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 9717/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 9718/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 9715/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 9716/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 9711/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 9712/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 6825/2014 (III)

C.A. No. 5662/2014 (III)

C.A. No. 9713/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 9710/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 9343/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 9719/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 9698/2013 (III)

Date : 23-02-2018 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR

For Appellant(s) Mr. Ashim Sood, Adv.
Ms. Liz Mathew, AOR
Mr. Rhythm Bharia, Adv.Mr. Manu Nair, Adv.
Mr. Kuber Dewan, Adv.
Mr. Ravinder Nijhawan, Adv.
Mr. S. S. Shroff, AOR

Mr. Abhishek, AOR

Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, AOR

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.
Ms. Deepti Sarin, Adv.

Mr. Shubham Saigal, Adv.for
M/S. Karanjawala & Co., AOR

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.
Ms. Hemlata Jain, Adv.
Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv.
Ms. Parul Shukla, Adv.
Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. ANS Nadkarni, ASG
Mr. Triveni Potekar, Adv.
Mr. Paurush Kumar, Adv.
Mr.S.S. Rebello, Adv.
Ms. Lhingneirah, Adv.
Ms. Snehapravv Tendulkar, Adv.
Ms. Nivedita Nair, Adv.
Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, AOR

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR

Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR

Ms. Pinky Anand, ASG
Mr. A.K. Panda, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. M.P. Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Nabila Hasan, Adv.
Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, AOR

M/S. Karanjawala & Co., AOR

Mr. Abhishek, AOR

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Mr. N. L. Ganapathi, AOR

Mr. T. Mahipal, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, learned Additional Solicitor General for the State submits that in principle the State has no objection in taking the land. However, the modalities are to be worked out.

It is submitted that the Representation filed by the appellant is engaging the attention of the State.

We make it clear that if any there is any other request, the same may also be considered by the State of Goa.

Post on 19th March, 2018.

(USHA RANI BHARDWAJ)
AR CUM PS

(RENU DIWAN)
ASST.REGISTRAR