

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MRS. RACHNA GUPTA

Civil Appeal No(s). 9336/2013

K.RAHEJA CORP.P.LTD.& ANR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

FRANKY MONTEIRO & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 9343/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 9698/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 9710/2013

(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 9711/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 9712/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 9713/2013

(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 9714/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 9715/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 9716/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 9717/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 9718/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

Item No.84

C.A. No. 9719/2013
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 5662/2014
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 6825/2014
(With Office Report)

Date : 29/10/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Ms Eesha Mohapatra, Adv.
M/s. Karanjawala & Co., Adv.
Ms Neha Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Adv.
Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Adv.
Mr Malak Bhatt, Adv.
Mr. S. S. Shroff, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek, Adv.
Mr Manpreet Lamba, Adv.
Mr. N. Ganpathy, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.
Mr Siddharth Bhatnagar, Adv.
Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, Adv.
Mr Choudhary, A. Adv.
Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Adv.
Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, Adv.
Mr M Khairati, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

C.A.NO.9336/2013

Among 11 respondents herein, respondent nos. 2 to 5,8
and 10 have chosen not to appear despite being duly served
at the SLP stage itself.

Item No.84

Remaining all respondents are duly represented.

Respondent nos. 1 and 11 have filed the counter affidavit as well.

Pleadings of the appearing respondents, if any, be filed within four weeks time.

C.A.NO.9343/2013

Among 7 respondents herein, respondent nos. 3 to 5 and 7 have failed to appear despite being duly served with the notice.

Remaining respondent nos. 1,2 and 6 are well represented.

C.A.NOS.9719,9698,9715,9716,9711,9710 OF 2013

Service of respondent no.7 in C.A.NO.9719/2013, respondent nos. 1,3,4 and 7 in C.A.NO.9698/2013, respondent nos. 2 to 5 and 8 to 11 in C.A.NO.9715/2013, respondent nos. 1 to 4 in C.A.NO.9716/2013, respondent nos. 1 to 4 and 8 in C.A.NO.9711/2013, respondent no.4 in C.A.NO.9710/2013 is complete but none has entered appearance.

Counter affidavit of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in C.A.NO.9719/2013, respondent no.5 in C.A.NO.9716, 9711 of 2013 has been filed.

In remaining all matters, remaining respondents are well represented, however, none of the parties have filed statement of case. It is no more a mandate after the amendment in the Supreme Court Rules, 2013.

Item No.84

Presence and pleadings for all the Civil Appeals stands complete.

However, in connected C.A. Nos. 5662 and 6825 of 2014, office report is silent about the status of both these appeals. Registry to verify and report accordingly.

List again on 26.11.2015.

(RACHNA GUPTA)
Registrar