

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 206 OF 2010 (For prel. hearing)

TATA SKY LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

NEO SPORTS BROADCAST PVT.LTD.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay,exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment,permission to place addl. documents on record)

Date: 25/01/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Appellant(s) Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ramji Srinivasan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Gopal Jain, Adv.
Mrs. Shiraz Contractor Patodia,Adv.
Mr. Nitin Mishra, Adv.
Mr. A. Sadhu, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. C.A. Sundaram, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Indu Malhotra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Agnihotri, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Mehta,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The civil appeal is dismissed in terms of the Signed order.

(Kanchan Jain)
A.R.

(Veera Verma)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 206 OF 2010

Tata Sky Ltd.Appellant

vs.

Neo Sports Broadcast Pvt. Ltd.Respondent

O R D E R

We are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order.
The civil appeal is dismissed. We make it clear that the
respondent should keep a separate account as regards the payment of
7% increase, as directed by the Telecom Disputes Settlement and
Appellate Tribunal (TDSAT).

.....CJI.
(K. G. BALAKRISHNAN)

.....J.
(DEEPAK VERMA)

.....J.
(DR. B.S. CHAUHAN)

New Delhi
January 25, 2010.