

ITEM NO.103

COURT NO.6

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 5832/2013

VIJAY KUMAR ROY

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MANJU ROY

Respondent(s)

Date : 23-09-2021 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI

For Appellant(s) Mr. Brajesh Kumar, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shekhar Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

A request for adjournment is made on behalf of learned counsel for the respondent on the ground that a senior counsel has to be engaged to address submissions and the matter came up in the list suddenly yesterday.

It appears that despite 30 years of litigation *inter se* the parties on the issue whether there was a marriage or not between the parties, litigation fatigue has still not set in! We may note that insofar as the appellant is concerned, as submitted by the learned counsel, the appellant got married again after the suit was dismissed and

before the appeal was filed and there is even a progeny from the said marriage.

The only submission of the learned counsel for the respondent in this behalf is that there are some doubts whether the marriage took place within that window.

List after two weeks, as prayed.

The parties shall adhere to the direction of filing a short synopsis running into not more than three pages each within one week.

[CHARANJEET KAUR]
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

[POONAM VAID]
COURT MASTER (NSH)