

ITEM NO.54

COURT NO.2

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 3644/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 22-09-2025
in BA No. 515/2025 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

LEISHANGTHEM I LOYANGAMBA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

Respondent(s)

IA No. 12588/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No. 12585/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 10-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. P.V. Dinesh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R.A. Zimik, Adv.
Mr. Dhiraj Abraham Philip, AOR
Ms. Anna Oommen, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Davinder Pal Singh, A.S.G.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.
Ms. Astha Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tyagi, Adv.
Mr. Sushil Raja, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. P.V. Dinesh, learned senior counsel
appearing for the petitioner and Mr. Davinder Pal
Singh, learned Additional Solicitor General
appearing for the respondent.

We are not inclined to entertain this petition seeking prayer for bail. Accordingly, the Special Leave Petition stands dismissed. However, considering the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for almost three years and the trial is at the stage of framing of charge and the next date of hearing is fixed as 25.04.2026, we direct the Trial Court to conclude the trial within a period of two years from today.

It goes without saying that Prosecution as well as the defence will extend all cooperation in the trial.

We also make it clear that in the event trial is not concluded within the aforesaid period for no fault attributable to the petitioner, it would be open for the petitioner to revive his prayer for bail.

(SHIPRA NARANG)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(RANJANA SHAILY)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR