

H  
C.A.No. 3359 OF 2000  
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R  
0  
ITEM NO.115 COURT NO. 4 SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No.3359 of 2000 @@  
AA

Ajit Kumar Sinha Appellant (s)  
VERSUS

Rameshwari Devi Respondent (s)  
(With office report)

Date : 01/05/2003 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

For Appellant (s) Mr. Rakesh K. Khanna, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Prasad Singh, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

Learned counsel for the landlord-appellant states that by an out of Court settlement, the appellant has received possession over the suit premises. The appeal is, therefore, rendered infructuous. It may be treated as disposed of.

.SP1 (N. Annapurna) (Radha R. Bhatia)  
Court Master Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file.)

.PA  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA@@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION@@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3359 OF 2000@@

CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Ajit Kumar Sinha

...Appellant

versus

Rameshwari Devi

...Respondent

O R D E R@@  
CCCCCCCC

L.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.  
.SP2

Learned counsel for the landlord-appellant states that by an out of Court settlement, the appellant has received possession over the suit premises. The appeal is, therefore, rendered infructuous. It may be treated as disposed of.

.SP1

AAAAA  
.....J. @@  
AAAAA  
(R.C. LAHOTI) @@  
AAAAA  
AAAAA  
.....J.@  
AAAAA  
(B.N. AGRAWAL) @@  
New Delhi, @@  
AAAAA  
May 01, 2003. @@  
AAAAA