

S U P R E M E      C O U R T   O F      I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 260 OF 2005

SACHCHIDANAND TRIPATHI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay)

Date: 07/10/2010      This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARJIT SINGH BEDI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Amit Yadav, Adv.  
Ms. Sujata Kurdukar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Adv.  
  
Mr. R.K.Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Rajeev K.Dubey, Adv.  
Mr. Kamendra Mikshra, Adv.  
Mr. Pradeep Misra , Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed.

[SUMAN WADHWA]  
COURT MASTER

[VINOD KULVI]  
COURT MASTER

Signed order is placed on the file.  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 260                      OF 2005

SACHCHIDANAND TRIPATHI

.. APPELLANT(S)

vs.

STATE OF U.P. &amp; ORS.

.. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At the very outset Mr. Amit Yadav, Advocate  
representing the learned counsel for the appellant, has

made a request that the matter be adjourned as the local counsel is unwell. We are not inclined to adjourn this matter any further for the simple reason that the dispute pertains to the year 1999 and the impugned order is of the year 2004 in which a direction had been made that the vehicle be returned to the registered owner i.e. respondent No.4 before us.

We have also perused the order and the material before us. The direction that has been made by the High Court that the vehicle should be released in favour of the

-2-

registered owner-respondent No.4 is absolutely correct and we find no error in this direction.

The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

.....J.  
(HARJIT SINGH BEDI)

.....J.  
(R.M. LODHA)

New Delhi,  
October 7, 2010.