

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 329 /2026
[@ SLP [CRL.] NO.481/2026]

NITASHA NARAD (MAANYA SADHWANI) Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SANGEETA RAMCHAND SADHWANI & ORS. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

The grievance of the appellant is, particularly, with respect to para 5 of the impugned order, *qua* the visitation rights, whereby the appellant has been directed to take her minor child from Delhi to Mumbai, to meet respondent No.1, on the days so specified.

Learned counsel, appearing for the appellant, submitted that it is practically impossible, for the appellant, to comply with the impugned order by travelling to Mumbai from Delhi, as per the time schedule given by the High Court in the impugned order.

Learned counsel, appearing for the respondent(s), fairly submitted that instead of the requirement of a personal visit, facilitation can be made through video conferencing. This arrangement is

acceptable to the learned counsel appearing for the appellant.

Hence, the condition imposed, by the High Court, vide para 5 of the impugned order, stands modified to one through Video conferencing, for the days so specified in the said para, instead of a personal visit to Mumbai.

Additionally, there shall be a condition to the effect that the appellant shall facilitate the visitation, in person, of her minor child, with respondent No.1, during the summer and winter vacations, for a minimum period of two days, after necessary consultations between the appellant and respondent No.1. Para 6 of the impugned order stands deleted.

The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.
[M.M. SUNDRESH]

.....J.
[NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH]

NEW DELHI;
JANUARY 13, 2026.

ITEM NO.46

COURT NO.5

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 481/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 23-12-2025 in CWP No. 4386/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

NITASHA NARAD (MAANYA SADHWANI)

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANGEETA RAMCHAND SADHWANI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION and I.R.

IA No. 7544/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 7549/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 13-01-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Abhay Kumar, AOR
Ms. Meghna Mittal, Adv.
Mr. Shagun Ruhil, Adv.
Mr. Shreenivas, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Karan Chopra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Dr. Suruchi Deshpande Yusuf, Adv.
Mr. Bhavya Sethi, Adv.
Ms. Anvee Mehta, Adv.
Ms. Mehak Paryani, Adv.
Ms. Ishita Jain, Adv.
Ms. Vasudha Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Kailash Uday Kapoor, Adv.
Ms. Neelam Singh, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal stands disposed of in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POONAM VAID)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

[Signed order is placed on the file]