

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. No. 2/2014 in
Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (civil) No(s). 1376/2014
(Arising from the final judgment and order dated 26/12/2013 in
Special Civil Application NO. 18619 of 2013 of the High Court of
Gujarat at Ahemdabad)

KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAJKUMAR M JAJODIA & ORS
(For directions and office report)

Respondent(s)

Date : 01/07/2014 This application was called on for hearing
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. SIKRI

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Amar Dave, Adv.
Mr. Krishnayan Sen, Adv.
Mr. Kunal Chatterji, Adv.
Mr. Rishab A. Chowdhury, Adv.
Mr. Huzefa Ahmadi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kailash Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Shamik Sanjanwala, Adv.
Mr. K.V. Sreekumar ,Adv.

For Respondent(s)
For RR 1

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The appeal stands disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

Signature Not Verified(KALYANI GUPTA)
Digitally signed by
Kalyani Gupta

(SHARDA KAPOOR)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

Date: 2014.09.12
15:59:36 IST
Reason:

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE.]

1

C.A. NO. OF 2014 @ SLP(C) NO. 1376 OF 2014

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5901 OF 2014
[ARISING OUT OF SLP(C) NO. 1376 OF 2014]

KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LIMITED

..... APPELLA

NT

VERSUS

RAJKUMAR M. JAJODIA & ORS.

..... RESPOND

ENTS

O R D E R

Leave granted.

2. We have heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

3. This appeal by way of special leave has been

preferred against the interim order dated 26th December, 2013 wherein and whereby the Division Bench of the High Court of Gujarat at Ahmedabad while issuing Rule in the writ petition granted ad interim relief in terms of prayer 8(b) of the prayer made in the writ petition.

When the

matter was placed for admission before this Court, no

interim order was granted while issuing notice. Since the

issue pertaining to the substantive claim of the

respective parties is pending consideration in the Writ

Petition before the High Court, we feel that in the

interest of justice to both the parties, it will be

PAGE NO. 1 OF 2

2

C.A. NO. OF 2014 @ SLP(C) NO. 1376 OF 2014 appropriate to request the Division Bench of the High

Court for disposal of the writ petition itself at an early

date. The counsel for the appellant would, however,

submit that notice is yet to be served on some of the

parties in the writ petition.

Mr. Huzefa Ahmadi, learned

senior counsel for the respondent No. 1 who is petitioner

in the writ petition, however, submits that he would issue

appropriate instructions for taking necessary steps for

effecting service on the unserved respondents in the writ

petition.

4. Recording the above statement of the learned senior

counsel, we dispose of this appeal with the request to the High Court to dispose of the writ petition itself at an early date preferably within a period of four months from the date of production of a copy of this order subject, however, to effecting service on the unserved respondents.

.....J
[FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA]

.....J
[A.K. SIKRI]

NEW DELHI
JULY 01, 2014.