

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO.1031 OF 2006

MEENU VIJAY KAPOOR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VIJAY MANOHARLAL KAPOOR

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

With Transfer Petition (C) No.47 of 2007

(With appln.(s) for ex-parte stay and office report)

Date: 26/10/2007 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BEDI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. U.U. Lalit, Sr. Adv.

In TP 1031/2006:

Mr. Ravindra Keshavrao Adsure, Adv.

In TP 47/2007:

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. S.S. Ray, Adv.

Ms. Rakhi Ray, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. S.S. Ray, Adv.

Ms. Rakhi Ray, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The transfer petitions are allowed.

[ T.I. Rajput ]  
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[ Om Prakash ]  
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION [CIVIL] NO.1031 OF 2006

Meenu Vijay Kapoor

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Vijay Manoharlal Kapoor

...Respondent(s)

With Transfer Petition (C) No.47 of 2007

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, H.M.A. No.1055 of 2006, titled as Vijay Kapoor vs. Meenu Kapoor, pending before the Additional District Judge, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi, and P.F. No.51 of 2006, titled as Meenu Vijay Kapoor vs. Vijay Manoharlal Kapoor, pending before Family Court No.3, Pune, within the State of Maharashtra, are transferred to the Family Court, Mumbai, within the State of Maharashtra.

The transfer petitions are, accordingly, allowed.

.....J

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J

[H.S. BEDI]]

New Delhi,  
October 26, 2007.