

REGISTRAR COURT. 2
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SECTION XII
I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 4711/2012

M.BHARATHIAR Appellant(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent(s)

WITH
C.A. No. 4712/2012
C.A. No. 4713/2012

Date : 30/04/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. R. Gopalakrishnan,Adv.

Mr. Abhay Kumar,Adv.
Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Neeraj Kumar,Adv.
Mr. Mohit D. Ram,Adv.

Mr. Vikas Bansal,Adv.
Mrs. Anil Katiyar,Adv.

Mr. S. Thananjayan,Adv.
Ms. Meenakshi Arora,Adv.

Mr. B. Balaji,Adv.
Mr. R. Rakesh Sharma,Adv.

Signature Not Verified

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

Digitally signed by
Madhu Grover
Date: 2015.05.02

O R D E R

06:38:37 CEST
Reason:

The office report indicates that the Ld. Counsel for the appellant and the Ld. Counsel for the appearing respondents have

Item No.94

failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by notice dated 1.11.2014 of the Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that t

he appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent who has entered appearance does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same. Therefore, in view of the rule position cited above the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

MG