

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 524/2002  
(From the judgement and order dated 10/12/2001 in CRLW 462/2000  
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

RAJESH KUMAR SHARMA Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

SHIKHA SHARMA & ORS. Respondent (s)

( With Appln(s). for c/delay in filing counter affidavit  
and exemption from filing O.T. ) ( For final disposal )

Date : 28/03/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. VENKATARAMA REDDI

For Petitioner (s) Mr. U.R. Lalit,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. A.K. Sanghi,Adv.  
Ms. Gauri Gupta,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Ms. Sunita Sharma & Mr. R.K.Rathore,Adv.s.for  
Mr. D.S. Mahra,Adv.  
Mr. Rajeev Sharma,Adv.  
Mr. Anip Sachthey,Adv.  
Mr. K. Rajeev,Adv.  
Mr. J.K. Das,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

Delay condoned in filing the counter affidavit.  
Heard both sides.

We see no reason to interfere with the impugned  
judgment. The Special Leave Petition is dismissed. It has  
been stated in the impugned judgment that the petitioner filed  
the complaint with an object of malafide intention. The  
observations made against the petitioner was not warranted,  
especially in view of the fact that so many other proceedings  
are pending between the parties. The said observations may  
not have persuasive effect, when other matters are considered  
by Courts.

.SP1

(Y.P.Dhamija)  
Court Master

(Veera Verma)  
Court Master