

^

T.P.(Crl.) No. 5 OF 2004
ITEM No.46

Court No. 2

SECTION XVIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition.(Crl.) No. 5/2004

MOHD. ASHFAQ

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for stay and directions)
(With Office Report)

Date : 16/11/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

For Petitioner (s)

Mr. Anil Kumr Mittal (A.C.)

For Respondent (s)Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ansar Ahmad Chaudhary, Adv.

Mr. Ghulam K. Vahanvati, SG.

Mr. Mohan Parasaran, ASG.

Mr. Hemant Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Navin Prakash, Adv.

Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

Attorney General for India

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Learned Solicitor General appearing for the respondent-Union has stated that the petitioner has since been sent across the border to Pakistan and Pakistan Government has accepted his entry into their country. That being the factual position this petition has become infructuous. The transfer petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(PAWAN KUMAR)

(PREM PRAKASH)

COURT MASTER COURT MASTER

(signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO.5 OF 2004

MOHD. ASHFAQ.... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN.... RESPONDENT

O R D E R

Learned Solicitor General appearing for the respondent-Union has stated that the petitioner has since been sent across the border to Pakistan and Pakistan Government has accepted his entry into their country. That being the factual position this petition has become infructuous. The transfer petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

.....J.
(N. SANTOSH HEGDE)

.....J.
(S.B. SINHA)
NEW DELHI,
NOVEMBER 16, 2004.

.