

**SECTION-III-A
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9844, 9845, 9846, 9847, 9848 AND 9849 OF 2011

STATE OF JAMMU & KASHMIR

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

M/S. TRIKUTA ROLLER FLOUR MILLS P. LTD. & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING MATTER

The office report is prepared as per circular No. F. 41/Jul./2008 dated 17th December, 2008.

CA NO. 9844 OF 2011

- (A) There are two Respondents in the matter above mentioned and are represented through Counsel.
- (B) Not applicable.
- (C) Not applicable.
- (D) No application for substitution is pending.
- (E) Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but Counsel for the Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that the Respondent does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.
- (F) Not applicable.

CA NO. 9845 OF 2011

- (A) There are four Respondents in the matter above mentioned. Respondent Nos. 1 & 4 are represented through Counsel and Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 have been deleted from among of the parties vide Court's Order dated 1st October, 2008.
- (B) Not applicable.
- (C) Not applicable.
- (D) No application for substitution is pending.
- (E) Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but Counsel for the Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be

presumed that the Respondent does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.

(F) Not applicable.

CA NO. 9846 OF 2011

(A) There are two respondents in the matters above mentioned and are served at SLP stage but no one has entered appearance on behalf of Respondents.

(B) Not applicable.

(C) Not applicable.

(D) No application for substitution is pending.

(E) Counsel for the Appellant has filed statement of case but Counsel for Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far in the matter. As per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that Counsel for the Respondent does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.

(F) Not applicable.

CA NO. 9847 OF 2011

(A) There is sole Respondent and is represented through Counsel.

(B) Not applicable.

(C) Not applicable.

(D) No application for substitution is pending.

(E) Counsel for the Appellant has filed statement of case but Counsel for Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far in the matter. As per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that Counsel for the Respondent does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.

(F) Not applicable.

CA NO. 9848 OF 2011

(A) There are two respondents in the matters above mentioned and Respondent No. 1 is represented through Counsel and Respondent No. 2 has been served at SLP stage but no one has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 2.

(B) Not applicable.

(C) Not applicable.

(D) No application for substitution is pending.

(E) Counsel for the Appellant has filed statement of case but Counsel for Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far in the matter. As per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that Counsel for the Respondent does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.

(F) Not applicable.

CA NO. 9849 OF 2011

(A) There are two respondents in the matters above mentioned and are represented through Counsel

(B) Not applicable.

(C) Not applicable.

(D) No application for substitution is pending.

(E) Counsel for the Appellant has filed statement of case but Counsel for Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far in the matter. As per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that Counsel for the Respondent does not desire to Lodge Statement of Case in Appeal.

(F) Not applicable.

The office report is listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court for orders.

DATED THIS THE 3RD DAY OF AUGUST, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO:-

Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Advocate

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR