

~
Crl.A.No. 124 OF 1998
ITEM No.112

Court No. 8

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Crl. Appeal Nos.124 of 1998

M/s.Circars Micro Elec.& Anr.

..Appellant(s)

VERSUS

State of A.P.& Anr.

..Respondent (s)

(With office report and with appln. for permission to compound the offence)

Date : 15/01/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

For Petitioner (s)
Mr.Vikas,Adv.
Mrs. D.Bharathi Reddy, Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr.Manoj Arora, Adv.
Mr. Anil Shrivastav,Adv.

Ms.T.Anamika, Adv.
Mr.G.Prabhakar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Sheetal Dhingra)(Kanwal Singh)
Court Master Court Master
[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No.124 OF 1998

M/s.Circars Micro Elec. & Anr.Appellant (s)

Versus

State of A.P. & Anr.Respondent (s)

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

Out of the two accused, appellant No.1 was convicted by the trial court under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code and sentenced to pay fine of Rs.5000/- and in default the appellant No.2 was directed to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of three months. Appellant No.2 was convicted under Section 420 and sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of one year and to pay the fine of Rs.5000/- and in default to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of three months. On appeal being preferred their conviction and sentence have been upheld.

Thereafter, matter was taken to the High Court of Andhra Pradesh by filing a revision application and the same has been dismissed by the impugned order. Challenging their conviction and sentence the appellants have preferred the present appeal by special leave.

We have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants as well as the State. We are of the view that no case for interference is made out. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Bail bonds of appellant No.2 who was granted bail by this Court are cancelled and he is directed to be taken into custody forthwith for undergoing the remaining period of sentence.

.....J.
(B.N. AGRAWAL)

.....J.
(G.P. MATHUR)

New Delhi
January 15, 2004.