:ITEM No.2 Court No. 4 SECTION XV
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.2641/2000
(From the judgement and order dated 09/09/1999 in CWP 12819/99
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

KAILASHO DEVI Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER CANAL LINING DIV.&ANR Respondent (s)
(With Office Report)

Date : 01/11/2000 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. SETHI

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Rajesh Tyagi,Adv.
Ms. Aparana Bhardwaj,Adv.
Mr. Praveen Jain,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Mahabir Singh,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R
.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.

.SP2
Learned counsel for the petitioner pleaded that compensation amount of Rs. 10,000/- is not an adequate substitute for the termination which is in violation of Section 25(f) of the Industrial Disputes Act. As the reinstatement was not ordered solely on the ground that there was no sanctioned post, we are of the opinion that amount of compensation should be more. We, therefore, fix it at Rs. 20,000/-.
With this modification in the compensation amount, this Special Leave Petition is disposed of.
.SP1

(N.K. GOEL) (H.K. BHATIA)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB