

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.43 OF 2006

JOYDEEP MUKHARJEE Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for impleadment, permission to file rejoinder affidavit and impleadment as party respondent)

Date: 13/09/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. T.R. Andhyarujina,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma,Adv.
Ms. Neelam Sharma,Adv.

Ms. A. Subhashini,Adv.

Mr. K.K. Venugopal,Sr.Adv.
Mr. H.K. Puri,Adv.

Mr. Pranab Kumar Mullick,Adv.

Mr. Kumar Mihir,Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar,Adv.
for M/s. Khaitan & Co.,Adv.

Mr. B.K. Satija,Adv. (N/P)

....2/-

- 2 -

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

By Order dated 30th August, 2010, we had directed the Registry to appoint an advocate from the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee to represent the case of Shyamali Das (applicant in Interlocutory Application). Today, when the matter came up for hearing, we are informed that the Legal Services Committee of the Supreme Court appointed Shri B.K. Satija to represent the case of Shyamali Das. The Panel advocate is absent. He has not even filed his vakalatnama.

Time has come to take notice of the fact that Panel advocates do not appear in Court. In the circumstances, we direct the Registry to look into the matter. The Registry is directed to submit a report to Hon'ble the Chief Justice of

India to take appropriate action, including appropriate steps for removing such advocates from the Panel subject to seeking explanation.

In the circumstances, we are constrained to adjourn this matter for two weeks in order to enable the Registry to set the house in order.

[Alka Dudeja]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Madhu Saxena]
Assistant Registrar