

REVISED

ITEM NO.21

COURT NO.13

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.1834/2010

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 13/10/2009 in RFA No. 1240/2003 13/10/2009 in RFA No. 1240/2003 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore)

NINGE GOWDA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

D.M.SHEDDEN(D) BY LRS.&amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(office report for directions)

Date : 07/11/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR  
[IN CHAMBERS]For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anirudh Sanganeria, Adv.  
Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, Adv.  
Mr. Parikshit P. Angadi, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.  
Mr. S. N. Bhat, Adv.  
Ms. Rashmi Nandakumar, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would opt for the process communicated in Ministry of Law and Justice's office memorandum dated 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2011, instead of pursuing this application for substituted service of notice on respondent No.1(b) and directions.

Accordingly, application is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to pursue remedy as suggested in the office memorandum dated 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2011 within six weeks' from today, failing which, the petition against the unserved respondent No.1(b) be treated as dismissed for non-prosecution without further reference to the Court.

(RASHMI DHYANI)  
SR.P.A.

(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)  
COURT MASTER

ITEM NO.21

COURT NO.13

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.1834/2010

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 13/10/2009 in RFA No. 1240/2003 13/10/2009 in RFA No. 1240/2003 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore)

NINGE GOWDA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

D.M.SHEDDEN(D) BY LRS.&amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(office report for directions)

Date : 07/11/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR  
[IN CHAMBERS]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anirudh Sanganeria, Adv.  
Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, Adv.  
Mr. Parikshit P. Angadi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.  
  
Mr. S. N. Bhat, Adv.  
  
Ms. Rashmi Nandakumar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would opt for the process communicated in office report dated 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2011, instead of pursuing this application for substituted service of notice on respondent No.1(b) and directions.

Accordingly, application is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to pursue remedy as

suggested in the office report dated 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2011 within six weeks' from today, failing which, the petition against the unserved respondent No.1(b) be treated as dismissed for non-prosecution without further reference to the Court.

(RASHMI DHYANI)  
SR.P.A.

(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)  
COURT MASTER