

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2119 OF 2000

SANTOSH K. AGARWAL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P. & ORS

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 28/07/2005 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Prakash Shrivastava,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of as having become infructuous  
in terms of the signed order.

(Neena Verma)

(Vijay

Aggarwal)

Court Master

ster

Court Ma

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2119 OF 2000

Santosh Kumar Agarwal  
..Appellant

Versus

State of Madhya Pradesh & Ors.  
..Respondents

O R D E R

By passage of time, this appeal has become infructuous. Therefore,

we do not think it necessary to go into the various aspects raised. It is submitted by Mr. Prakash Shrivastava, learned counsel appearing for the appellant that the point involved is a recurring feature. Therefore, we leave the question of law open for adjudication in an appropriate case.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of as having become infructuous.

.....J.

( ARIJIT PASAYAT )

J.

.....

( H.K. SEMA )

New Delhi,

July 28, 2005.