

ITEM NO.301 (PH)

COURT NO.7

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 804/2006

STATE OF T.N. REP.BY SECY &amp; ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

K.SENTHIL KUMAR  
(OFFICE REPORT)

RESPONDENT(S)

Date : 18/03/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAFULLA C. PANT

For Appellant(s)

Mr. L. Nageswara Rao, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Sr. Adv.AAG  
Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Adv.  
Mr. Jayant Patel, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E RThe appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed  
order.[VINOD LAKHINA]  
COURT MASTER[ASHA SONI]  
COURT MASTER

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 804/2006

STATE OF T.N. REP.BY SECY  
& ANR. . . . APPELLANTS

VERSUS

K.SENTHIL KUMAR . . . . RESPONDENT

ORDER

1. The additional affidavit filed on behalf of the State of Tamil Nadu indicates the various situations in which the use of the stadium in question would be permissible for State functions. The events, meets and functions mentioned, naturally, are illustrative. As the State has taken a decision in the matter, we now leave it open for the State to amend the earlier G.O. Ms.No.71, Youth Welfare and Sports Development Department, dated 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2005 and also leave the aggrieved parties, if any, with the option to challenge the said/subsequent G.O. before the appropriate forum.

2. In the light of the above, we do not consider it necessary to keep this matter pending any further. Civil Appeal, therefore, is disposed of in the above terms.

....., J.  
(RANJAN GOGOI)

....., J.  
(ARUN MISHRA)

....., J.  
(PRAFULLA C. PANT)

NEW DELHI  
MARCH 18, 2016