

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 8875-8883 OF 2010

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

A.P.STATE BACKWARD CLASSES COMMN.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

T.MURALIDHAR RAO & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 24/09/2013 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Ms. C.K. Sucharita,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

M/S. Lawyer'S Knit & Co,Adv.
Respondent-In-Person ,Adv
Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed ,Adv
Mr. Hitendra Nath Rath, Adv.
Mr. Y. Raja Gopala Rao ,Adv
Mr. S. Mohandas M., Adv.
Mr. D. Bharat Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Abhijit Sengupta ,Adv
Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhania ,Adv
Mr. Debojit, Adv.
Mr. G.N.Reddy ,Adv
Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In this batch of appeals, as per the status shown in the Tabular Chart, it appears that the statement of case on behalf of the appellant has been filed on record but the copies have not been served to respondent No.1-in-person.

Similarly, some of the respondents in some of the matters, have filed their statement of case but copy of the

Item No.100

-2-

same has not been served on the other side. Omission be rectified in two weeks' time.

Remaining respondents have failed to file counter affidavit within the mandatory period of 35 days, as contemplated under Order XV Rule 35 of the Supreme Court Rules, 1966 and therefore, by virtue of Order XV Rule 39, they are ordered to proceed ex parte.

After compliance of the direction of serving copies of the statement of case by the appellant and respondent-in-person on the other side in two weeks' time, Registry to process the matters for listing before the Hon'ble Court, as per rules.

	(M.A. SAYEED) REGISTRAR	
--	----------------------------	--