

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 481 OF 2004

M/S.AJIT COTTON GIN.PRSG.DALL&STEEL MILL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

TATA IRON & STEEL CO. LTD. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 22/03/2006 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Aseem Mehrotra, Adv.

Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. R.K. Jain, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Sushma Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Dahiya, Adv.

Ms. Nupur Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rajan Narain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The appeal is disposed of.

[ Alka Dudeja ]

Court Master

[ Om Prakash ]

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 481 OF 2004

M/s. Ajit Cotton Ginning Pressing Dall  
and Steel Rolling

...Appellant(s)

Versus

Tata Iron and Steel Co. Ltd.

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

After some argument, learned counsel appearing on

behalf of the appellant is permitted to withdraw this appeal. We

may, however, observe that in case cognizance is taken, during

trial the appellant, if so advised, may file a petition afresh, which  
has been dealt with by the impugned orders. In case such an  
application is filed, the same shall be considered on its own merit  
without being prejudiced by any observation in the impugned  
orders passed by the trial court as well as the High Court.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.....J.

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.

[A.K. MATHUR]

New Delhi,

March 22, 2006.