

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 363 OF 2013 in SLP(Crl.)No.8142/2012

RAVINDRA SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTARAKHAND

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.,c/delay in filing review petition,oral hearing,permission to file additional documents and office report)

With R.P.(Crl.)No.364 of 2013 in SLP(Crl.)No.8142 of 2012

With R.P.(Crl.)No.365 of 2013 in SLP(Crl.)No.8142 of 2012

With R.P.(Crl.)No.366 of 2013 in SLP(Crl.)No.8142 of 2012

Date: 10/07/2013 These petitions were circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following

O R D E R

Applications for oral hearing are rejected.

Delay condoned.

The review petitions are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

[Madhu Bala]

[Savita Sainani]

Sr.PA

Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (Crl.)No.363 OF 2013

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION(Crl.)No.8142 OF 2012

Ravindra Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

WITH

REVIEW PETITION(Crl.)NO.364 OF 2013

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE EPTITION(Crl.)No.8142 of 2012

WITH

REVIEW PETITION(Crl.)No.365 OF 2013

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION(Crl.)No.8142 OF 2012

WITH

REVIEW PETITION(Crl.)NO.366 OF 2013

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE EPTITION(Crl.)No.8142 of 2012

...2/-

-2-

O R D E R

Applications for oral hearing are rejected.

Delay condoned.

We have carefully gone through the review petitions and the connected papers. We find no merit in the review petitions and the same are accordingly dismissed.

.....J.
[P. SATHASIVAM]

NEW DELHI;
JULY 10, 2013

.....J.
[RANJAN GOGOI]