

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 267 OF 2006

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....

APPELLANT

VERSUS

ROSHAN
LAL

.....

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA



JUDGMENT

RESPONDENT

O R D E R

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
The High Court has given a categoric finding
that the respondent had completed his part of the pre-

audit on 23.05.1998 and had no connection with the issuance of the cheque thereafter and as such the question of payment of any bribe would not arise. In coming to this conclusion, the High Court has relied on the evidence of the prosecution witnesses who categorically stated to the above effect and unanimously deposed that after the pre-audit the cheque



JUDGMENT

was to be prepared by the Accounts Branch of the Committee and the accused-respondent had no concern with the delivery of the cheque.

In view of this categoric finding of fact, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment. We, thus, find no merit in this appeal which is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J
[HARJIT SINGH BEDI]

.....J
[AFTAB ALAM]

NEW DELHI



JUDGMENT

AUGUST 25, 2009.