

SLP(C)No. 1503 OF 2002

ITEM No.27 & 63

Court No. 4

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.1503/2002

(From the judgement and order dated 21/09/2001 in FAO 142/00
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

M/S. ANAND KUMAR DEEPAK KUMAR & ANR.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

PRABHU SHANKAR AGGARWAL & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)
With SLP(C)Nos. 1787/2002 & 2012/2002@@
AA
(With prayer for interim relief)

Date : 04/02/2002 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.N. KHARE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Gopal Subramaniam,Sr.Adv.
in all Mrs. Sumita Mukherjee,Adv.
Mr. Mohinder Singh Rana,Adv.
Mr. Amarjit Singh,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. KK. Venugopal,Sr.Adv.
in SLP 1503 Mr. RF Nariman,Sr.Adv.
Mr. R.C. Srivastava,Sr.Adv.
Mr. RK Agarwal,Adv.
Mr. C. Mukund,Adv.
Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain,Adv.

in SLP'1787 Mr. FS Nariman,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Ranjit Kumar,Sr.Adv.
Ms. Anu Mohla,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.

By judgment under challenge, the Division Bench of the High Court had set aside the ex parte injunction order passed by the learned single Judge and send the matter back to the learned single Judge for being decided afresh in accordance with law. Since it is an order of remand and matter is yet to be considered by the learned single Judge, these are not cases for interference. It is clarified that the learned single Judge of the High Court shall decide the matters without being influenced by any observation made in the judgment under challenge.

With these observations, the Special Leave Petitions are dismissed.

.SP1

(Neelam Kawatra)

(S. Krishnan)

Court Master

Court Master