

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).4988/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 21/09/2005 in TRC No. 9/1998 of The
HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

M/S. MOHAN BREWERIES & DISTILLERIES LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

WITH S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 2469 of 2006

(With appln. for c/d in refiling SLP)

(With office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 2477 of 2006

(With appln. for c/d in refiling SLP)

(With office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 2478 of 2006

(With appln. for c/d in refiling SLP)

(With office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 2526 of 2006

(With appln. for c/d in refiling SLP)

(With office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 2595 of 2006

(With appln. for c/d in refiling SLP)

(With office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 2624 of 2006

(With appln. for c/d in refiling SLP)

(With office report)

Date: 27/03/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Arun Jaitley, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. adv.

Mr. M.N.Rao, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states

that his client will seek a review of the order of the High Court.

As far as

this Court is concerned, we see no reason to interfere with the decision of the

High Court which according to the petitioner does not apply to this petition

at all. The special leave petitions are dismissed.

[SUMAN WADHWA]

[MADHU SAXENA]

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER