

Z

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTON
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1840 OF 2011

STATE OF M.P. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SUKHRAM Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard.

Despite the last opportunity granted for the purpose, the appellant-State has not filed fresh address of the sole respondent for service of the bailable warrants upon him. Learned counsel for the appellant prays for and is granted one more opportunity to do the needful within four weeks failing which the appeal shall stand dismissed without any further reference to the Court.

.....J.
(T.S. THAKUR)

NEW DELHI
DATED 16th August, 2013.
ITEM NO.18 COURT NO.7 SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1840 OF 2011

STATE OF M.P. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SUKHRAM Respondent(s)

(Office Report on Default)

Date: 16/08/2013 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
(In Chambers)

For Appellant(s) Mr. Vikas Bansal, Adv.
Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard.

Despite the last opportunity granted for the purpose, the appellant-State has not filed fresh address of the sole respondent for service of the bailable warrants upon him. Learned counsel for the appellant prays for and is granted one more opportunity to do the needful within four weeks failing which the appeal shall stand dismissed without any further reference to the Court.

| (Mahabir Singh)
| Court Master

| (Indu Pokhriyal)
| Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)